IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS

IOSEPH	V	DONALDSON	V
JOSELII	٧.	DOMALDOO	٠.

Plaintiff,

VS.

Case No. 17-01213-EFM-GEB

DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, and MARK BOSTON, IRS REVENUE OFFICER,

Defendants.

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on Defendant United States' Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 21), Plaintiff Joseph Donaldson's Motion to Vacate Denial Order for Preliminary Injunction or Show Cause (Doc. 14), Donaldson's Motion for Equitable Estoppel (Doc. 29), and Donaldson's Memorandum Objecting to Denial of Mandatory Judicial Notice (Doc. 38). The United States moves to dismiss Donaldson's claims arguing that they are barred by the doctrine of sovereign immunity and that the Court therefore does not have subject matter jurisdiction over the claims. The United States argues in the alternative that Donaldson failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

Courts lack subject matter jurisdiction over claims against the United States "for which sovereign immunity has not been waived." The doctrine of sovereign immunity extends to federal agencies² and their employees when sued in their official capacities.³ The burden of establishing an "explicit waiver of sovereign immunity" rests on the plaintiff.⁴ Because Donaldson did not assert an "explicit waiver of sovereign immunity" as required by *Fostvedt*, this Court does not have jurisdiction over Donaldson's claims. The United States' Motion to Dismiss is therefore granted and the Court need not address the government's arguments under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the United States' Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 21) is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Donaldson's Motion to Vacate Denial Order for Preliminary Injunction or Show Cause (Doc. 14) is **DENIED** as moot.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Donaldson's Motion for Equitable Estoppel (Doc. 29) is **DENIED** as moot.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Donaldson's Memorandum Objecting to Denial of Mandatory Judicial Notice (Doc. 38) is **DENIED** as moot.

³ Atkinson v. O'Neill, 867 F.2d 589, 590 (10th Cir. 1989).

¹ *Iowa Tribe v. Salazar*, 607 F.3d 1225, 1232 (10th Cir. 2010).

² FDIC v. Meyer, 510 U.S. 471, 475 (1994).

⁴ Fostvedt v. United States, 978 F.2d 1201, 1203 (10th Cir. 1992).

The case is thereby dismissed without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 11th day of April, 2018.

Sici 7 Milgren ERIC F. MELGREN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE